

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2012
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S199898 B233475 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **RINGGOLD-LOCKHART
(JUSTIN) v. SANKARY
(MYER J.)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S199951 **GOBLE (WILLIAM DONALD)
ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus & application for stay denied

S186661 D054613 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. CRAVENS (SETH)**
Time extended to consider modification or rehearing
The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to
April 30, 2012, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs
first.

S198440 B223806 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. MACIAS (JOSEPH
RUBEN)**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to
March 22, 2012.

S198526 H036515 Sixth Appellate District **HERNANDEZ (JESUS) ON
H.C.**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to
March 22, 2012.

S198559 G043142/G043813 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **JARAMILLO (GEORGE) v.
COUNTY OF ORANGE**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to
March 16, 2012.

S198740 D060891 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PV LITTLE ITALY LLC v.
S.C. (CFL&S COLUMBIA
LLC)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 16, 2012.

S198772 C069480 Third Appellate District **TURNER (LEO BLACK) ON
H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 16, 2012.

S198776 B224025 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 **HARRIS (LINDA M.) v.
AMERICAN
INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 19, 2012.

S198783 G046109 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **KIM PROPERTIES LLC v.
S.C. (MINABERRY)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 19, 2012.

S198813 H034499 Sixth Appellate District **PEOPLE v. CASTRO
(RAQUEL)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2012.

S198872 A133951 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **LEAUTUTUFU (ABRAHAM)
v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 21, 2012.

S198882 B237542 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **MEJIA (ROSA) v. S.C.
(BESORAT PROPERTIES,
INC.)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2012.

S198886 H036249 Sixth Appellate District **CASTRO (RAQUEL) ON H.C.**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2012.

S198921 A131301 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. NELSON (CARL)**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2012.

S198927 B237220 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **TIZENO (HOLLINS) ON H.C.**
The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to March 22, 2012.

S065233 **PEOPLE v. SMITH (FLOYD
DANIEL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Chief Deputy State Public Defender Barry P. Helft's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 74 additional days will be granted.

S079925 **PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH
ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Peter Silten's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Joseph Adam Mora's reply brief by April 14, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 16, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S094890 **PEOPLE v. MANIBUSAN
(JOSEPH KEKOA)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David S. Adams's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by the end of May 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 55 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S105403

**PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN
PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH
SAM)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Joseph F. Walsh's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Samreth Sam Pan's opening brief by June 5, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S130659

**PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG
(CRAIGEN LEWIS)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 3, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S166477

MUNGIA (JOHN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Christina Sandidge's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S190405

**EUBANKS (SUSAN DIANNE)
ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Meaney's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by April 8, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 9, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S173586 D052181 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**STATE BUILDING &
CONSTRUCTION TRADES
COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA,
AFL-CIO v. CITY OF VISTA**

Request for judicial notice granted

The request for judicial Notice brought by defendants City of Vista et al., and filed in this court on December 3, 2009, is granted as to exhibits 2 through 27 and is denied as to exhibit 1.

S199903

**KOJIMA (TADAKAZU) v. S.C.
(DOLIN)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S199930

**WILLIAMS (BRIAND) v. S.C.
(PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,014)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

